262. A New Reaction of 1,2-Dioxetanes

Formation of 1,2,4-Trioxanes from Aldehydes

by Charles W. Jefford *, John Boukouvalas and Shigeo Kohmoto

Department of Organic Chemistry, University of Geneva, CH-1211 Geneva 4

(7. XI. 83)

Summary

1,2-Dioxetanes bearing different 3-phenoxy substituents add to aldehydes to give 1,2,4-trioxanes in yields of 17-75% depending on the nature of the *para*-phenyl substituent. Illustrations of this new reaction are described.

Introduction. – The number of reactions which 1,2-dioxetanes can undergo with organic molecules is strictly limited [1]. Usually initial scission of the O-O bond occurs, followed by attack of the reagent. We now report a new reaction of 1,2-dioxetanes 1 in which the key step is breaking of the C-O bond. This regioselectivity is conferred by a 3-phenoxy substituent. Dioxetanes so substituted 1 open easily on protonation to give the stable β -hydroperoxy phenoxonium cation 2 [2] (Scheme 1). When 2 is generated in the presence of an aldehyde (RCHO), immediate reaction ensues to incorporate the carbonyl function, thereby forming the corresponding 1,2,4-trioxane 3. The examples which follow demonstrate this novel mechanism, nonetheless the reaction may be of potential utility as it would offer a simple, mild means of preparing derivatives of a little known class [3] of heterocycles of which the most noteworthy member is 'Qinghaosu' [4].



Results. – The four 1,2-dioxetanes selected 5a-d were prepared from the phenoxymethylideneadamantanes 4a-d by dye-sensitized photo-oxygenation. Simply mixing the 1,2-dioxetanes with excess aldehyde, here exemplified by 5a and acetaldehyde, in CH₂Cl₂ solution containing a catalytic amount of *Amberlyst-15* afforded the 1,2,4-trioxanes 7 and 8 (*Scheme 2*). The sole by-product was adamantanone 15. The trioxanes were usually formed as pairs of epimers with the *erythro* series (*e.g.* 7) strongly predominating (*Table*). Structures were assigned by means of ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectroscopy and by comparison with previously established structures [5-7].

The 1,2-dioxetanes 5a-b reacted efficiently with acetaldehyde, but *p*-chloro- and *p*-methoxyphenoxy-substituted dioxetanes 5b and 5d gave the highest yields (72-75%) of trioxanes 7b, 8b and 7d, 8d. Trioxane formation also occurs with other aldehydes, such as propionaldehyde, *p*-chloro- and *p*-nitrobenzaldehyde. However, the yields were considerably lower (17-34%), even with otherwise effective dioxetane partners such as the *p*-chlorophenoxy derivative 5b.



Discussion. – The results are best interpreted in terms of the intermediacy of the β -hydroperoxy phenoxonium cation **6** formed by protonation of the dioxetane **5**. It can be assumed that **6** is either sufficiently reactive or long-lived to be intercepted by aldehyde. Nucleophilic attack by the hydroperoxy group is relayed through the carbonyl function to cancel the charge on the positive center, thereby creating the trioxane ring.

The incoming aldehyde molecule can adopt either a *syn* or an *anti* orientation with respect to the phenoxonium entity. Preference for one orientation over the other is probably dictated by steric factors associated with the construction of the chair conformation of the six-membered ring.

The present reaction finds mechanistic parallels with the protonation of certain *endo*-1,4-peroxides [5], the behaviour of trimethylsilyl α -trimethylsilylperoxy carbo-xylates [6], and the photo-oxygenation of enol ethers [7]. In all these cases, the critical reactive intermediate possesses the same structural features as **6**, namely, a peroxy grouping adjacent to a real or formal cationic center.

However, for the last-mentioned reaction, a subtle, yet important, difference exists. For example, the photo-oxygenation of 2-methoxymethylideneadamantane 16 yields a zwitterionic peroxide 17 which can be captured by acetaldehyde to produce trioxanes 18 [7] (*Scheme 3*). In contrast, the photo-oxygenation of the phenoxy derivatives 4a, b and d, using rose bengal as sensitizer, in excess acetaldehyde, gave solely the corresponding dioxetanes 5 together with some cleavage to 15, despite the presumed intermediacy of the analogous zwitterion. Thus, traces of acid may be necessary, although hitherto assumed to be unimportant [7], for the formation of trioxanes from enol ethers.



Two other properties also seem to play a role; one is the inherent propensity of the dioxetane to undergo scission to adamantanone 15. The other is the electrophilicity of the carbonyl partner. Both properties, if great and poor, respectively, will diminish the yield of trioxanes.

The present findings portend similar reactivity of allylic hydroperoxides towards aldehydes; such experiments are under study and will be reported elsewhere.

We thank the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant No. 2.201-0.81) and the World Health Organization (Special Programme for Tropical Diseases) for support of this work. We would also like to thank Messrs. J.-P. Saulnier and A. Pinto for performing the NMR experiments and Mrs. A. D'Ascoli for the MS measurements.

Experimental Part

General. Thin layer chromatography (TLC): silica gel $60F_{254}$ Merck. Prep. layer chromatography: silica gel $60F_{254}$ (thickness 2 mm). All solvents were analytical grade Merck. Physical constants and spectra were determined using the following instrumentation. Melting points (m.p.): Reichert hot-stage microscope (uncorrected). IR spectra: Perkin-Elmer 681 spectrometer. ¹H-NMR spectra (chemical shifts in ppm relative to internal TMS (= 0 ppm), coupling constants J in Hz): Varian XL 100 spectrometer or Bruker WH 360 spectrometer. Mass spectra: Varian CH-4 instrument. Elemental analyses were carried out by Drs. H. and K. Eder, Service de Microchimie, Institut de Chimie Pharmaceutique, University of Geneva.

Preparation of Phenoxymethylideneadamantanes $4\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{d}$. The enol ethers $4\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{d}$ were prepared from 2-adamantanone and the appropriate α -phenoxyacetic acid [8]. The yields cited below are based on adamantanone.

2-(Phenoxymethylidene) adamantane¹) (4a). Yield 40%, m.p. $41-42^{\circ}$ ([8]: 39°). ¹H-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.5 - 7.2 (m, 2H); 7.2 - 6.9 (m, 3H); 6.22 (s, 1H); 3.2 - 3.0 (m, 1H), 2.55 - 2.35 (m, 1H); 2.2 - 1.6 (m, 12H).

2-[(4-Chlorophenoxy)methylidene]adamantane¹) (4b). Yield 25%, m.p. 44–44.5°. IR (CCl₄): 1680w (C=C). ¹H-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.35–7.15 (m, 2 H); 7.1 – 6.8 (m, 2 H); 6.17 (s, 1 H); 3.08 (m, 1 H); 2.45 (m, 1 H); 2.1 – 1.7 (m, 12 H). MS: 276 (6, M^+ + 2), 274 (20, M^+), 217 (4), 147 (12), 128 (6), 119 (16), 111 (9), 105 (45), 91 (100).

2-[(4-Methylphenoxy)methylidene]adamantane¹) (4c). Yield 40%, m.p. 53-54°. IR (NaCl): 1685 m (C=C). ¹H-NMR (360 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.09 (d, J = 8, 2 H); 6.90 (d, J = 8, 2 H); 6.16 (s, 1 H); 3.09 (br. s, 1 H); 2.42 (br. s, 1 H); 2.29 (s, 3 H); 2.05 - 1.75 (complex m, 12 H). MS: 254 (100, M^+), 197 (8), 147 (17), 105 (17), 91 (33).

2-[(4-Methoxyphenoxy)methylidene]adamantane¹) (4d). Yield 59%, m.p. $55-56^{\circ}$ (recrystallized from hexane). IR (CCl₄): 1685 m (C=C). ¹H-NMR (360 MHz, CDCl₃): 6.94 (d, J = 8, 2H); 6.84 (d, J = 8, 2H); 6.15 (s, 1H); 3.79 (s, 3H); 3.12 (br. s, 1H); 2.43 (br. s, 1H); and 2.05-1.75 (complex m, 12H). MS: 270 (100, M^+), 213 (5), 147 (11), 124 (28), 105 (17), 91 (29).

Preparation of 1,2-Dioxetanes 5a-5d. 1.0-1.5 mmol of the enol ether (4) in 10 ml of dry THF was irradiated with a 500-W high-pressure Na-lamp under a continuous stream of O₂ using rose bengal (RB, 15 mg) as sensitizer at -78°. The progress of the reaction was checked by TLC (CH₂Cl₂). Usually 3-4 h were required for completion. The 1,2-dioxetane was isolated by CC (silica gel) at r.t. (CH₂Cl₂ hexane).

Tricyclo[3.3.1.1^{3.7}]*decane-2-spiro-3'-(4-phenoxy-1,2-dioxetane)* (5a). Yield 75%, m.p. 71–72° (recrystallized from pentane) ([9]: 85–87.5°). R_f 0.63 (CH₂Cl₂, silica gel). ¹H-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.5–6.8 (*m*, 5H); 6.07 (*s*, 1H); 3.1–2.9 (*m*, 1H); 2.8–2.6 (*m*, 1H); 2.2–1.4 (*m*, 12H).

¹) The IUPAC name for 'adamantane' is tricyclo[3.3.1.1^{3,7}]decane.

Tricyclo[3.3.1.1^{3,7}]*decane-2-spiro-3'-[4-(p-chlorophenoxy)-1,2-dioxetane]* (**5b**). Yield 66 %, m.p. 93,5–94.5° (recrystallized from hexane CH₂Cl₂). R_f 0.69 (CH₂Cl₂, silica gel). ¹H-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.4 – 7.0 (*m*, 2H); 6.9 – 6.6 (*m*, 2H); 5.99 (*s*, 1H); 2.92 (*m*, 1H); 2.67 (*m*, 1H); 2.2 – 1.4 (*m*, 12H). ¹³C-NMR (90.6 MHz, CDCl₃): Two dioxetane C-atoms at 107.7 (*d*) and 93.0 (*s*). MS: No molecular peak, 274 (0.4), 274 (1.2), 156 (24), 150 (98), 128 (100).

C₁₇H₁₉ClO₃ (306.789) Calc. C 66.56 H 6.24 Cl11.56% Found C 66.71 H 6.12 Cl11.41%

Tricyclo [3.3.1.1^{3,7}]*decane-2-spiro-3'-*[4-(p-tolyloxy)-1,2-*dioxetane*] (**5**c). Yield 31%, m.p. 63–63.5° (recrystallized from hexane). R_f 0.64 (CH₂Cl₂, silica gel). ¹H-NMR (360 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.08 (*d*, J = 8, 2H); 6.76 (*d*, J = 8, 2H); 5.99 (*s*, 1 H); 2.95 (br. *s*, 1 H); 2.67 (br. *s*, 1 H); 2.31 (*s*, 3 H); 2.05 – 1.6 (complex *m*, 12 H). MS: 286 (0.1, M^+), 276 (0.2, $M^+ - 16$), 254 (0.2, $M^+ - 32$), 150 (100), 136 (41), 108 (64), 107 (66).

Tricyclo[3.3.1.1^{3,7}]*decane-2-spiro-3'-[4-(p-methoxyphenoxy)-1,2-dioxetane]* (5d). Yield 55%, m.p. 75–76° (recrystallized from hexane CH₂Cl₂). $R_f = 0.50$ (CH₂Cl₂, silica gel). ¹H-NMR (360 MHz, CDCl₃): 6.83 (*s*, 4 H); 3.78 (*s*, 3 H); 2.96 (br. *s*, 1 H); 2.67 (br. *s*, 1 H); 2.1 – 1.55 (complex *m*, 12 H). ¹³C-NMR (90.6 MHz, CDCl₃): Two dioxetane C-atoms at 108.5 (*d*) and 93.0 (*s*). MS: 302 (0.2, M^+), 286 (0.7, $M^+ - 16$), 270 (3, $M^+ - 32$), 179 (8), 151 (26), 150 (26), 124 (100), 109 (55).

C₁₈H₂₂O₄ (302.370) Calc. C 71.50 H 7.33% Found C 71.50 H 7.15%

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 1,2,4-Trioxanes. A mixture of 1,2-dioxetane (0.42 – 0.3 mmol), excess aldehyde, Amberlyst-15 (ca. 250 mg) was stirred overnight in CH_2Cl_2 (5 ml) at 25°. After removal of resin and solvent by filtration and evaporation, excess aldehyde was evaporated under high vacuum (*p*-chlorobenzaldehyde was removed by sublimation at 40°). The residue was purified by preparative layer chromatography. 1,2,4-Trioxanes were isolated as epimeric mixtures except when *p*-chlorobenzaldehyde was used. All compounds were formed as oils, except as noted. The data below refer to *erythro/threo*-mixtures which were not separated. However, the ratios of diastereomers are estimated from the intensities of characteristic NMR signals. The yields and ratios are shown in the *Table*.

erythro/threo-*Tricyclo*[3.3.1.1^{3.7}]*decane-2-spiro-6'-(3-methyl-5-phenoxy-1,2,4-trioxane)* (**7a/8a**). R_f 0.62 (CH₂Cl₂, silica gel). ¹H-NMR (360 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.4 - 7.3 (*m*, 2H); 7.2 - 7.0 (*m*, 3H); 5.87 (*q*, J = 5.5, $H-C-CH_3$, *threo*); 5.56 (*q*, J = 5, $H-C-CH_3$, *erythro*); 5.74 (*s*, H-C-O, *threo*); 5.41 (*s*, H-C-O, *erythro*); 2.85 - 1.4 (*m*, adamantyl); 1.48 (*d*, J = 5.5, CH₃, *erythro*); 1.29 (*d*, J = 5.5, *threo*). MS: 316 (4, M^+), 179 (11), 164 (25), 151 (13), 150 (15), 121 (12), 120 (100).

C₁₉H₂₄O₄ (316.397) Calc. C 72.13 H 7.65% Found C 71.94 H 7.68%

erythro/threo-*Tricyclo*[3.3.1.1^{3,7}]*decane-2-spiro-6'-*[5-(p-chlorophenoxy)-3-methyl-1,2,4-trioxane] (7b/8b). R_t 0.68 (CH₂Cl₂, silica gel). ¹H-NMR (360 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.35 - 7.2 (m, 2H); 7.1 - 6.95 (m, 2H); 5.80 (q, J = 5, H-C-CH₃, threo); 5.51 (q, J = 5, H-C-CH₃, erythro); 5.66 (s, H-C-O, threo); 5.34 (s, H-C-O, erythro); 5.80 (s, H-C-O, threo); 5.34 (s, H-C-O, erythro); 5.80 (s, H-C-O, threo); 5.34 (s, H-C-O, threo); 5.80 (s, H-C-O, threo); 5.34 (s, H-C-O, threo); 5.34 (s, H-C-O, threo); 5.80 (s, H-C-O, threo);

1,2-Dioxetane	Aldehyde	1,2,4-Trioxetane	Yield %	<i>erythro/threo</i> Ratio
5a	СН₃СНО	7a, 8a	47	9:5
5 b		7b, 8b	72	3:1
5c		7c, 8c	45	3:2
5 d		7d, 8d	75	2:1
5a	p-ClC ₆ H ₄ CHO	9 a, (10 a) ^a)	27	œ
5 b		9 b, (10 b) ^a)	17	∞
5a	p-O ₂ NC ₆ H ₄ CHO	11 a, 12 a	17	3:1
5a	CH ₃ CH ₂ CHO	13a, 14a	34	4:1

Table. Reaction of 1,2-Dioxetanes 5 with Aldehydes to Give Diastereomeric Pairs of 1,2,4-Trioxanes 7-14

^a) None of the *threo*-isomers **10a** and **10b** was formed in any detectable quantity.

2.8 - 1.4 (*m*, adamantyl); 1.45 (*d*, J = 5, CH₃, *erythro*); 1.27 (*d*, J = 5, CH₃, *threo*). MS: no molecular peak, 150 (37), 128 (37), 88 (63), 86 (100).

C₁₉H₂₃ClO₄ (350.842) Calc. C 65.05 H 6.61 Cl 10.11% Found C 65.26 H 6.70 Cl 9.97%

erythro/threo-*Tricyclo*[3.3.1.1^{3,7}]*decane-2-spiro-6'-*[3-methyl-5-(p-tolyloxy)-1,2,4-trioxane] (7c/8c). $R_{\rm f}$ 0.62 (silical gel, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H-NMR (360 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.10 (*d*, J = 8, 2H); 7.00–6.95 (2*d*, J = 8, 2H); 5.84 (*q*, $J = 5.5, H-C-CH_3$, threo); 5.52 (*q*, $J = 5.5, H-C-CH_3$, erythro); 5.65 (*s*, $H-C-OC_6H_4$, threo); 5.33 (*s*, $H-C-OC_6H_4$, erythro); 2.30 (*s*, CH₃C₆H₄); 2.8 – 1.45 (*m*, adamantyl); 1.43 (*d*, $J = 5.5, CH_3CH$, erythro); 1.26 (*d*, $J = 5.5, CH_3CH$, threo). MS: 330 (3, M^+), 270 (6), 254 (4), 241 (3), 179 (16), 151 (34), 150 (30), 109 (100), 108 (48).

erythro/threo-Tricyclo[3.3.1.1^{3.7}]decane-2-spiro-6'-[5-(p-methoxyphenoxy)-3-methyl-1,2,4-trioxane] (7d/8d). R_t 0.58 (silica gel, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H-NMR (360 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.04 (d, J = 7); 7.01 (d, J = 8, 2H); 6.85 (d, J = 8, 2H); 5.86 (q, J = 5.5, $H - C - CH_3$, threo); 5.52 (q, J = 5.5, $H - C - CH_3$, erythro); 5.59 (s, $H - C - OC_6H_4$, threo); 5.25 (s, $H - C - OC_6H_4$, erythro); 3.78 (s, CH₃O); 2.8 - 1.45 (m, adamantyl); 1.45 (d, J = 5.5, CH₃CH, erythro); 1.27 (d, J = 5.5, CH₃CH, threo). MS: 346 (3, M^+), 179 (12), 151 (30), 150 (27), 124 (100), 109 (37).

C₂₀H₂₆O₅ (346.423) Calc. C 69.34 H 7.57% Found C 69.15 H 7.52%

erythro-*Tricyclo*[3.3.1.1^{3,7}]*decane-2-spiro-6'-*[3-(p-chlorophenyl)-5-phenoxy-1,2,4-trioxane] (9a). M.p. 154–155° (recrystallized from hexane). ¹H-NMR (360 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.45–6.95 (m, 10H); 6.58 (s, 1H); 5.84 (s, 1H); 2.83 (br. s, 1H); 2.30 (m, 2H); 2.12 (br. d, J = 12, 1H); 2.0 – 1.45 (m, 10H). MS: 414 (0.2, $M^+ + 2$), 412 (0.7, M^+), 383 (0.6), 321 (4), 319 (11), 240 (16), 179 (51), 161 (19), 151 (48), 150 (28), 141 (33), 140 (25), 139 (100). (The peaks $M^+ + 2$ and M^+ were obtained by CI-MS).

$$C_{24}H_{25}Clo_4$$
 (412.913) Calc. C 69.81 H 6.10 Cl 8.59% Found C 69.93 H 6.31 Cl 8.78%

erythro-*Tricyclo*[3.3.1.1^{3,7}]*decane-2-spiro-6'-*[5-(p-chlorophenoxy)-3-(p-chlorophenyl)-1,2,4-trioxane] (**9b**). M.p. 143° (decomp.). ¹H-NMR (360 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.40 (*d*, J = 8.5, 2 H); 7.33 (*d*, J = 8.5, 2 H); 7.23 (*d*, J = 8.5, 2 H); 7.07 (*d*, J = 8.5, 2 H); 6.55 (*s*, 1 H); 5.81 (*s*, 1 H); 2.85 (*m*, 1 H); 2.35 - 1.45 (*m*, 13 H). MS: no molecular peak, 319 (5, $M^+ - C_6H_4$ ClO), 179 (9), 161 (8), 151 (18), 139 (36), 128 (55), 111 (22), 91 (100), 79 (85).

C24H24Cl2O4 (447.358) Calc. C 64.44 H 5.41 Cl15.58% Found C 64.45 H 5.58 Cl15.74%

erythro/threo-*Tricyclo*[3.3.1.1^{3,7}]*decane-2-spiro-6'-*[3-(p-nitrophenyl)-5-phenoxy-1,2,4-trioxane] (**11 a**/**12 a**). $R_{\rm f}$ 0.73 (CH₂Cl₂, silica gel). ¹H-NMR (360 MHz, CDCl₃): 8.18 (*d*, *J* = 9); 7.70 (*d*, *J* = 9, C₆H₄-NO₂, erythro); 8.27 (*d*, *J* = 9); 7.71 (*d*, *J* = 9, C₆H₄-NO₂, threo); 7.4 - 6.95 (*m*, C₆H₅O); 6.77 (*s*, H-C-O, threo); 6.43 (*s*, H-C-O, erythro); 5.96 (*s*, H-C-O, threo); 5.34 (*s*, H-C-O, erythro); 2.95 - 1.6 (*m*, adamantyl). MS: 423 (3, M^+), 330 (3), 300 (7), 240 (8), 179 (25), 163 (10), 162 (44), 161 (12), 151 (51), 150 (100).

C24H25NO6 (423.465) Calc. C68.07 H 5.95 N 3.31% Found C68.30 H 6.20 N 3.04%

erythro/threo-*Tricyclo[3.3.1.1*^{3.7}]*decane-2-spiro-6'-(3-ethyl-5-phenoxy-1,2,4-trioxane)* (**13a/14a**). R_f 0.47 (CH₂Cl₂ hexane 3:2, silica gel). ¹H-NMR (360 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.4 - 7.0 (*m*, C₆H₅); 5.73 (*s*, H-C-O, *threo*); 5.40 (*s*, H-C-O *erythro*); 5.66 (*t*, J = 5.5, H-C-O, *threo*); 5.31 (*t*, J = 5.5, H-C-O, *erythro*); 2.81 - 1.5 (*m*, adamantyl, CH₂); 0.98 (*t*, J = 7.5, CH₃, *erythro*); 0.94 (*t*, J = 7.5, CH₃, *threo*). MS: no parent peak, 250 (5), 175 (10), 158 (7), 157 (76), 156 (21), 151 (14), 150 (100).

C₂₀H₂₆O₄ (330.424) Calc. C 72.70 H 7.93% Found C 72.63 H 7.99%

REFERENCES

 W. Adam, J. del Fierro, F. Quiroz & F. Yany, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 102, 2127 (1980); P. D. Bartlett & M. E. Landis, in 'Singlet Oxygen', eds. H. H. Wasserman and R. W. Murray, Academic Press, New York, 1979, Chap. 7, p. 243; C. W. Jefford & C. G. Rimbault, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 100, 6437 (1978); W. Adam, Adv. Heterocycl. Chem. 21, 437 (1977); P. D. Bartlett & J. S. McKennis, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 99, 5334 (1977); K. R. Kopecky, J. E. Filby, C. Mumford, P. A. Lockwood & J. Y. Ding, Can. J. Chem. 53, 1103 (1975).

- [2] C. W. Jefford, J. Boukouvalas, H.G. Grant & S. Kohmoto, unpublished work.
- [3] G. B. Payne & C. W. Smith, J. Org. Chem. 22, 1682 (1957); W. Adam & A. Rios, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1971, 822; H. Etoh, K. Ina & M. Iguchi, Agric. Biol. Chem. 37, 2241 (1973); V. Subramanyam, C. L. Brizuela & A. H. Soloway, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1976, 508; K. Maruyama, M. Muraoka & Y. Naruta, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1980, 1282; H. Yamamoto, M. Akutagawa, H. Aoyama & Y. Omote, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1980, 2300; M. Miura, M. Nojima & S. Kusabayashi, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1981, 581.
- [4] G. Schmid & W. Hofheinz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 105, 624 (1983); Xu Xing-Ziang, Zhu Jie, Huang Da-Zhong & Zhou Wei-Shan, Acta Chim. Sinica 41, 574 (1983).
- [5] C. W. Jefford, D. Jaggi, J. Boukouvalas & S. Kohmoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 105, 6497 (1983).
- [6] C. W. Jefford, J. C. Rossier & G. D. Richardson, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1983, 1064.
- [7] C. W. Jefford, S. Kohmoto, J. Boukouvalas & U. Burger, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 105, 6498 (1983).
- [8] W. Adam & H.-H. Fick, J. Org. Chem. 43, 4574 (1978).
- [9] W. Adam & L. A. Arias Encarnación, Chem. Ber. 115, 2592 (1982).